

Draft Liverpool Amen Liverpool from SP2 to	ndment No 23 - Rezoning of Old Co o B6	uncil Chambers, Ho	oxton Park Road,
Proposal Title :	Draft Liverpool Amendment No 23 - Re Liverpool from SP2 to B6	zoning of Old Council C	hambers, Hoxton Park Road,
Proposal Summary :	The former Council administration site (Public Administration Building) and p		
	The planning proposal is to rezone the Recreation. The existing RE1 zone is p Council's flood risk map.		
	The intent of the rezoning is to provide not available under the current SP2 Inf rezoning will allow for a number of dev	astructure (Public Adm	inistration building). The
	The planning proposal seeks to amend	the following Liverpoo	LEP 2008 (LLEP 2008) maps:
	Land Zoning Map: Zoning to be ch part RE1 Public Recreation to part Enterprise Corridor		
	<ul> <li>Floor Space Ratio Map: To apply a</li> <li>Height of Buildings Map: The prop</li> <li>B6 zoned land is 15 metres.</li> </ul>		
		mum lot size requireme	nt for the B6 zone is
	Council has advised that the proposed of building controls are consistent with LLEP 2008 and will provide for adequat density, bulk and scale.	that applied to the exis	sting B6 zoned land under the
	Site details		
	The planning proposal applies to the tv	o following properties:	
	. 1 Hoxton Park Road (Lot 103 DP 877 Infrastructure (Public administration Recreation.		
	. Lot 104 Hoxton Park Road (Lot 104 D SP2 Infrastructure (Public Administra Recreation.		
	An aerial map and a locality map of the current zoning map is at Tag A3. The p Tag A4.		-
PP Number :	PP_2011_LPOOL_012_00 Dop	File No :	11/22599-1

Proposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	23-Dec-2011		LGA covered :	Liverpool	
Region :	Sydney Region West		RPA :	Liverpool Ci	ty Council
State Electorate :	LIVERPOOL		Section of the Act :	55 - Plannin	g Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		•		
Location Details					
Street: 1	Hoxton Park Road				
Suburb : Li	verpool	City :	Liverpool	Postcode :	NSW 2170
Land Parcel :					
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Cho Cho Myint				
Contact Number :	0298738583				
Contact Email :	chocho.myint@planning.	.nsw.gov	.au		
RPA Contact Deta	uils				
Contact Name :	Megan Hill				
Contact Number :	0298219285				
Contact Email :	m.hill@liverpool.nsw.gov	.au			
DoP Project Manager Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Derryn John				
Contact Number :	0298738543				
Contact Email :	derryn.john@planning.ns	sw.gov.a	u		
Land Release Dat	Land Release Data				
Growth Centre :	N/A		Release Area Name :		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro South West subre	egion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes	

.

Liverpool from SP2 to	Вр		
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	To the best of the knowledge of the relation to communications and m Region West has not met with any Director has not been advised of a lobbyists concerning the proposa	neetings with lobbyists has / lobbyists in relation to this any meetings between other	been complied with. Sydney proposal. The Regional
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	The Department's Lobbyist Regis	ter has been checked on 22	December, 2011.
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	Background		
	The Council building fire of 15 August 2010 destroyed the original portion of Council's administration building. Since then Council has purchased the multi level commercial building at 33 Moore Street Liverpool and has moved its offices to the new premises. Apart from the relatively new 'northern wing' being currently used as administration offices for Council's engineering unit, the building is largely unusable/vacant.		
	Supporting notes		
	The current zoning of the land is r administration uses.	estrictive and does not allo	w any use other than public
	The proposed land use will revital access to the Liverpool regional c generating purpose. The planning the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney	entre and along the T-Way i proposal is therefore, cons	oute for employment istent with the objectives of
External Supporting Notes :			
Adequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the obj	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	Council has advised that the pa enterprise corridor along Hoxto		ning proposal is to facilitate an
	types of development other that	in for public administration	ting) Zone does not allow other purposes on the site. Rezoning v Council to seek Expressions of
			12 Jan 2012 02:30 nm

The planning proposal is the best means of achieving the objectives for the site. In order to provide for future development of the site by private parties, a rezoning is required which can only be achieved through a planning proposal.

Council has advised that the subject land does not require reclassification as it is currently classified as 'operational'.

### Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The objectives of this planning proposal will be achieved via an amendment to LLEP 2008 zoning, floor space ratio, height of building and minimum lot size maps, consistent with the controls applied to the existing/proposed B6 zoned land under the Liverpool LEP 2008.

Council has also advised that the proposed controls are deemed acceptable in that they provide for adequate incentive to develop within acceptable parameters of density, bulk and scale.

## Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
 4.3 Flood Prone Land
 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

 e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :SECTION 117 DIRECTIONSDIRECTION 1.1 BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL ZONESThe direction applies when a RPA prepares a planning proposal that will affect land<br/>within an existing or proposed business zone. Council has advised that the planning<br/>proposal will give effect to the following objectives:<br/>• Encourage employment growth in suitable locations:<br/>The planning proposal will provide for an opportunity to develop commercial premises<br/>in an area connected to major roads, public transport and strong population catchment.<br/>• Retain the areas and locations of existing business and industrial zones:<br/>The proposal does not propose to rezone existing land zoned for such uses.<br/>• Not reduce the total potential floor space area for employment uses and related public<br/>services in business zones:<br/>The proposal does not reduce potential floor space for employment uses.

• Ensure that proposed new employment areas are in accordance with a strategy that is approved by the Director-General of the Department of Planning:

REGIONAL TEAM'S COMMENTS:

It is agreed with Council that the planning proposal will encourage employment growth and will not reduce the total potential floor space area for employment uses and related public services in business zones.

The planning proposal is not inconsistent with the objectives of the direction as it will increase the total potential floor space area for business uses and encourage employment growth. However, because of its previous use as a Council administration building, the proposal is not specifically identified as an employment area in any of the strategies including the Metropolitan Plan for 2036 and the draft North West Subregional Strategy.

#### DIRECTION 3.4 INTEGRATING LAND USE AND TRANSPORT

This direction applies when a proposal creates, alters or removes a zone or a provision relating to urban land, including land zoned for residential, business, industrial, village or tourist purposes.

The southern boundary of the site is located approximately 130 metres from the T-Way bus stop adjacent to Hoxton Park Road while the northern boundary of Lot 104 site is approximately 300m walk from a T-Way bus stop adjacent to Memorial Avenue.

Council has advised that the rezoning proposal will:

- . permit a range of business uses with access to major transport route;
- . locate trip-generating activities and development in places that optimise accessibility, limit the demand for travel, encourage and facilitate public transport use; and
- . complement the B2 Local Centre located approximately 200m to the west.

#### **REGIONAL TEAM'S COMMENT:**

As stated by Council, the planning proposal is considered to be consistent with the objectives of the direction. It is located along a major public transport corridor, will encourage public transport services and reduce travel demand and dependence on cars.

#### DIRECTION 4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND

The direction applies to the planning proposal. Council has advised that approximately 30% of the site is affected by the flood planning level (1:100 flood level) categorised as high risk and medium risk flood areas in the Council's Flood Risk map at Tag B2. The proposal seeks to expand the RE1 zoned land to align with the medium and high risk flooding areas. With the submission of the planning proposal for Gateway Determination, Council has sought approval of the Director-General in regards to this direction.

Council has further advised that any future development will have to consider the flood affectation of the site. The Liverpool Local Environmental Plan 2008 and Development Control Plan 2008 contains provisions relating to development in and adjacent to flood prone land, consistent with the Floodplain Development Manual 2005.

#### **REGIONAL TEAM'S COMMENT:**

The planning proposal, as submitted by Council, will permit development within low

risk flood area. The B6 Zone would be limited to the areas identified as no or low flood risk sections (i.e. above 1:100 flood level) of the site. The high/medium risk flood areas along Brickmakers Creek will be contained wholly within the expanded RE1 Zone. Should the Gateway determine that the proposal proceeds, it is considered that the inconsistency with the direction is of a minor nature and the Director General's (or his delegate) endorsement is recommended on that basis. **DIRECTION 6.2 RESERVING LAND FOR PUBLIC PURPOSES** This Direction applies to the proposal as it alters (i.e. creates) the existing RE1 Public Recreation zoned land to include additional land along the creek which are flood prone areas (medium and high risk flooding areas) within the site. **REGIONAL TEAM'S COMMENT:** The proposal is inconsistent with the objectives of the direction as it will alter (create additional area) the existing land zoned RE1 which is not approved by the Director General or any other authority. It is recommended that the inconsistency with the direction is of minor nature and the Director General's (or his delegate) approval is recommended on that basis. DIRECTION 7.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE METROPOLITAN PLAN FOR SYDNEY 2036 This Direction requires the Proposal to be consistent with the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036. Council has advised that: . the proposal is consistent with the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036, as it provides employment opportunities and choice from a range of local and regional services, including start-up offices, showrooms, building supplies and retail, which benefit from high levels of passing traffic. . the site is highly accessible - located within 200m from a bus stop with high service provision and existing local centre. . the site is strategically located on Hoxton Park Road and Enterprise Corridors along the road will not detract from the the attractiveness of the Liverpool City Centre. . the site can be considered complimentary to the Liverpool City Centre considering it is located on a major arterial road leading into the centre and located less than 600 metres from the southern gateway point to the city centre at Terminus Street. . the scale of the proposed rezoning (approx. 20,000 sqm) will not threaten the viability of the city centre. Council has also advised that the planning proposal is consistent with the objectives of NSW State Plan, the draft South West Subregional Strategy and Liverpool LGA Retail Centres Hierarchy (see details in the Council's planning proposal report at Tag B1). Land Use Study (APP, December 2011) - Tag B3 Council engaged APP Corporation Pty Limited to undertake an independent land use and development feasibility. The study considered Residential (R3 and R4) and several business (B1, 2, 4,5 and 6) zone options for the site and recommended B2 Local Centre Zone or B6 Enterprise Corridor Zone, with the remaining northern portion to remain as **RE1** Public Recreation. Council supported the B6 Enterprise Corridor Zone for the site. Council's justification for a B6 Zone option for the site is as follows:

<ul> <li>the site is located along Hoxton Park Road which is a key corridor entering Liverpool City Centre. This ensures high commuter exposure and increased</li> </ul>
economic viability for commercial development.
• the site has a 110m frontage to Hoxton Park Road and an existing four way
signalised intersection to facilitate access to both directions of Hoxton Park Road.
<ul> <li>due to its size, the site may be appropriate for a mix of uses such as offices, retail, and residential development.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>future commercial development may be deemed complementary to the B2 Local</li> </ul>
Centre zoned area located 200 metres to the west (junction of Flowerdale Road and Hoxton Park Road).
<ul> <li>permits a wide range of uses, increasing attractiveness of the site for redevelopment.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>permits a number of uses that may complement the adjoining open space</li> </ul>
precinct, such as recreation and entertainment facilities.
<ul> <li>permits office premises, allowing the retention of the existing office building.</li> </ul>
Council's detailed assessment of the proposal against the relevant Metropolitan
Strategy, the draft South West Subregional Strategy and local strategies are in the Council's planning proposal/report at Tag B1.
REGIONAL TEAM'S COMMENT:
The proposal is considered to be consistent with certain critical elements of the draft
centres policy in the Metropolitan Plan as follows.
THE METROPOLITAN PLAN FOR SYDNEY 2036
The Metropolitan Plan identified enterprise corridors as areas which provide low cost accommodation for a range of local and regional services, including start-up offices, showrooms, building supplies and retail, which benefit from high levels of passing traffic (Tag B4). They run along major arterial roads and can also provide a valuable
buffer, at an appropriate distance from the road, from surrounding residential development.
The 2005 Metropolitan Strategy identified corridors as the areas around transport routes connecting centres and activities. Economic corridors were
identified as places that would play a key role in the metropolitan and national economy; renewal corridors were identified as the focus for diverse
and livable communities; and enterprise corridors were identified as locations for
important local employment and services.
These corridors may typically permit a range of land use controls, although use of a B6
Enterprise Corridor Zone should be limited to areas of very high traffic volumes and
where it is appropriate to allow a flexibility of land uses to enable productive use of the road corridor.
The Metrpolitan Plan also states that B6 Zone will not be appropriate for all busy roads
and development for retail premises in this zone may be able to occur where it plays the role described above. The amount of retailing to be permitted in the B6 Zone should
be set locally. Clause 7.23 of the LLEP 2008 limits retail floor space of 1,000 sqm in the
zone. The proposed draft Amendment No 19, if made, will increase this retail floor area
to 1,600 sqm.
DRAFT SOUTH WEST SUBREGIONAL STRATEGY (DSWSS)
There are a number of instances where the DSWSS suggests appropriate locations for enterprise corridors in the subregion (pages 39 and 69, Tag B5). These areas are

identified as parts of the Hume Hwy north of Liverpool, the western end of Newbridge Rd and the southern side of Hoxton Park Rd east of Joadja Street. The Council site was not vacant at the time of the preparation of the DWSS and was not specifically identified.

The draft Activity Centres Policy (March 2010) recommends corridors only where (a) the use does not undermine the activity centres, taking into account their wider strategies to accommodate the retail floor space in their areas. (b) the access to the site is via a secondary road so as not to add to traffic congestion or affect freight or bus traffic.

#### **OBJECTIVES OF B6 ENTERPRISE CORRIDOR ZONE**

Attached Tag D2 is the extract for B6 land use table (including 'Objectives') from Liverpool LEP 2008.

It is noted that in the wider locality, there are B6 zones located along Hoxton Park Road and New Bridge Road, which are major thoroughfare entering the Liverpool CBD from the east and west (see map at Tag C1).

It is therefore considered that the site is located on a 'main road' and within a 'key corridor' where a B6 Zone would offer greater opportunities to establish an appropriate range of business uses on the site that are able to take advantage of the high level of exposure to the adjacent road corridors.

The proposed B6 Zone on the land will allow business uses such office premises, retail premises, light industries, as well as mixed uses in the form of shop top housing, serviced apartments, multi dwelling housing and hotel and motel accommodation and would be consistent with the zone objective "to maintain the economic strength of centres by limiting the retailing activity".

#### SURROUNDING BUSINESS ZONES

As shown on the diagram at Tag C1, Liverpool Council has several Planning Proposals in the process that have been made or endorsed to proceed by the Gateway or being considered by the Gateway for B5 and B6 zoning, including the Amendments 25 (Cosco, Crossroad), 22 and 19 (Orange Grove Road), 18 (Masters, Warwick Farm) and 20 (New Bridge Road). The draft Amendment No 19 also proposed to limit retail floor space to 1,600 sqm within the zone. These planning proposals were not a result of any strategic study or report agreed by the Department. Cumulatively, these proposals may have potential impact on the commercial viability of the city centre.

Given Council's interest in progressing several proposals for additional retail development in out of centre locations, the Gateway has previously advised Council to complete its commercial centres hierarchy review prior to the finalisation of several of these planning proposals.

#### SUMMARY

#### It is considered that:

- the site is located on busy roads with high traffic volumes and poor residential amenity, and the proposed zoning will allow flexible business activities that benefit from high levels of exposure and, at the same time, buffer residential development from the adverse impacts of the major roads;
- the proposed B6 Enterprise Corridor Zone is also not inconsistent with the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 which recognises the importance of Enterprise Corridors as locations for local employment and valuable/flexible space for local commercial and a range of retail formats, that benefit from high levels of exposure;
- a B6 Enterprise Corridor Zone will allow for small scale bulky goods premises

> with a maximum permissible floor space of 2,500 sqm, as per Clause 7.23 of the Liverpool LEP 2008 (copy at Tag D1), thereby restricting large format retail uses, which are envisaged within the B5 Business Development Zone; and . a B6 Enterprise Corridor Zone will also allow for retail premises with a maximum permissible floor space of 1,000 sqm (proposed 1,600 via draft Amendment 19), as per Clause 7.23 of the Liverpool LEP 2008 (copy at Tag D1), thereby restricting larger supermarkets generally permitted in local centres.

In summary, the characteristics of the site would support limited scale business opportunities on a key corridor entering Liverpool City Centre and would not threaten the economic strength of existing centres

A B6 Zone in this location is not inconsistent with Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 (extract is at Tag B4) because of the contributing factors as discussed above. It would allow a range of land uses to enable productive use of the road corridor and may assist in buffering the more sensitive residential land uses in the surrounding area.

In view of a number of recent Liverpool planning proposals involving bulky goods and retail in several out of centre locations, it is proposed that a review of commercial/retail hierarchy and centres is undertaken to ensure the viability of centres is prepared to underpin and guide future planning decisions.

It is understood that Council has resolved in August, 2011 to undertake an update to the 2005 Leyshon report and review its retail/centres hierarchy to guide future economic development in the Liverpool LGA, to be finalised by 2012.

## Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

## Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Council has resolved to forward the Planning Proposal to the Department and the subsequent Gateway Determination will stipulate the terms of public exhibition.

## Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

## Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

## Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation The planning proposal is to amend the Liverpool Principal LEP 2008. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria	3
Need for planning proposal :	Since the Council building fire on 15 August, 2010, the majority of the building on the site is unusable apart from the relatively new 'northern wing'.
	The proposed B6 Zone will revitalise this largely underutilised site, at a location with good access to the Liverpool regional centre and along the T-Way route, for employment generating uses. The planning proposal is therefore generally consistent with the objectives of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 and the draft South West Subregional Strategy.
	The current zoning of the land is restrictive and does not allow any use other than public administration uses. It is agreed with Council that the planning proposal is the best means to rezone the site to develop the unused/strategic site.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	Consistency with strategic planning framework is discussed in detail under "Adequacy Assessment" - S117 Direction 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036.
	The planning proposal is not a result of any approved strategy by the Department, however, is not inconsistent with relevant strategies including Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036, draft South West Subregional Strategy and local strategies.
	As discussed above, Liverpool Council has several Planning Proposals in the process that have been made or endorsed to proceed by the Gateway or being considered by the Gateway for B5 and B6 zoning in out of centre locations. These planning proposals were not a result of any strategic study or report agreed by the Department. Cumulatively, these proposals may have potential impact on the commercial viability of the centres.
	The Gateway has previously advised Council to complete its commercial centres hierarchy review prior to the finalisation of several of these planning proposals.
Environmental social economic impacts :	It is unlikely that any significant environmental effects will arise as a result of this planning proposal. The site is clear of significant vegetation.
	Flooding (Detailed discussion under S117 4.3 Flood Prone Land)
	Any future development will have to consider the flood affectation of the site which is mainly contained within a short distance of Brickmakers Creek.
	The Liverpool Local Environmental Plan 2008 and Development Control Plan 2008 contain provisions relating to developing in, and adjacent to, flood prone land. Council has advised that the planning proposal does not create the need to add provision in relation to flooding.
	It is recommended that Office of Environment and Heritage be consulted as part of the planning process.
	Traffic Council has advised that the rezoning will permit a number of uses on the subject site. Specific traffic generation can only be determined with the proposal of specific development. The B6 zone permits a range of uses which can vary in terms of traffic generation, types of vehicle movements, servicing requirements and peak times. It is envisaged that future uses would not result in significant traffic impacts considering the high traffic intensity of previous use i.e. Council administration building and the existing access provision being the signalised four way intersection to Hoxton Park Road. Further access to Memorial Avenue may be possible depending on the future design of development.

It is recommended that Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Authority is consulted as part of the planning process. **Aboriginal Heritage** Council has advised that a search on the Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) provided no results on the site or in the immediate area. Flora and Fauna Council has advised that the planning proposal will not cause any detrimental impact on critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities. Any development proposal arising from this LEP amendment (and following disposal) will be subject to the current environmental considerations set out in the Liverpool LEP 2008 and relevant State and Federal Government legislation. **Assessment Process** Community Consultation 14 Days Consistent Proposal type : Period : DDG Delegation : 9 Month Timeframe to make LEP : Office of Environment and Heritage Public Authority Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No Yes (2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional studies, if required. : If Other, provide reasons : Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No If Yes, reasons : Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Tag B1 - Planning_Proposal_received_from_Council.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Tag_A1_&_A2Aerial_	Мар	Yes
Photo_and_locational_maps.pdf		
Tag_A3Existing_Zoning_map.pdf	Мар	Yes
Tag_B1Planning_Proposal_Attachment	Proposal	Yes
(Council_report_dated_21_November_2011).pdf		
Tag B2 - Flood Risk map.pdf	Proposal	Yes

Tag_B3APP Land_Use_Study.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Tag_B5Extract_from_South_West_Subregional_Strat	Proposal	Yes
egy.pdf		Vee
Tag_C1Proposed_B5_and_B6_Zones_in_Liverpool6	Proposal	Yes
.pdf		
Tag_D1CI7.23_Liverpool_LEP_2008.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Tag_D2Extract_from_Liverpool_LEP_2008.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Tag_A4Proposed_map_changes_for_zoning_height_	Мар	Yes
and_FSR.pdf		
Tag_ B1 Planning_Proposal_	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Covering_Letter_for_PP.pdf		
Tag_B4Extract_from_Meteropolitan_Plan_for_Sydney	Proposal	Yes
_2036.pdf		

## Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	<ul> <li>1.1 Business and Industrial Zones</li> <li>3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport</li> <li>4.3 Flood Prone Land</li> <li>6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes</li> <li>7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036</li> </ul>
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the proposal proceeds with the following conditions:
	<ul> <li>(1) The Director General's delegate agrees that any inconsistency with section</li> <li>117 directions: <ul> <li>4.3 Flood Prone Land; and</li> <li>6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	(2) Community consultation for 14 days;
	(3) Consultation with Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Authority and the Office of Environment and Heritage.
	(4) The timeframe for completing the local environmental plan is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
	In view of a number of recent Liverpool planning proposals involving bulky goods and retail in several out of centre locations, it would be appropriate for Council to prepare a commercial hierarchy and centres review to ensure the viability of centres and that there is a contemporary and robust strategic base for future planning decisions. This study should be prepared before the planning proposal is finalised.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal will revitalise the largely underutilised site at a location with good access to the Liverpool regional centre and along the T-Way route for employment generating purpose.
Signature:	Lougent
Printed Name:	CHO CHO MYINT Date: 13/1/12